

REMARKS

Claims 1-76 are pending in the present application and have been examined. Claims 1-76 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) over U.S. Patent No. 6,970,696 (Fuoss) or U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0203752 (Wojaczynski). No amendments have been made, nor has any new matter been introduced. The listing of claims is solely for the convenience of the Examiner. Reconsideration of the application in light of the below remarks is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-76 have been rejected under §102(e) as being anticipated by Fuoss or Wojaczynski. Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

Among the limitations of independent claims 1, 26, 34, 41, 50, 58, 65 and 74-76 that are not taught or suggested in Fuoss or Wojaczynski is “a call connection controller which connects with the information processor over an Internet line” and “accommodates a telephone terminal.”

Fuoss discloses a call forwarding system. In particular, the docking station 130/140 acts as a call forwarding device, and monitors whether or not a cellular phone is connected. For example, when a cellular phone is placed in a docking station at work, phone calls to a user’s home or cell phone may be routed to his work. And when a cell phone is out of the docking station, phone calls to a user’s work or home may be routed to his cell phone. *See* Fuoss, col. 4, ll. 18-32. The docking station forwards a call in accordance with forwarding information that is stored in a memory. *See* Fuoss, Fig. 2. This pre-stored forwarding information is located in a predetermined command lookup table 200. Each personal communication device 110 is associated with a predetermined command lookup table 200 before any forwarding occurs.

In contrast to Fuoss, the present claims recite that a call is made from one terminal to another terminal through an associated “call connection controller.” The call connection controller connects with the information processor and accommodates a telephone terminal. For example, a call can originate from a first terminal after inputting a number through a first information processor. When the call is received, both the second information processor and second terminal, which are associated, operate and a user can respond to the received call by either of them. The first

and second information processors are associated through a call connection controller. Unlike Fuoss, forwarding information is not stored in a predetermined command lookup table 200. As a result of the present claims, a call is made based on the association between the terminal and the PC through the connection controller and is not based on a predetermined command lookup table like the Fuoss system.

In addition, Wojaczynski discloses a system capable of interacting with a voice network and a data network to provide users with secured seamless mobile access. The portions of Wojaczynski cited in the Office Action do not teach or suggest a call connection controller that connects with an information processor and accommodates a telephone terminal. Those sections merely disclose acquiring IP address for mobile terminals. Paragraph [0015] discloses wireless roaming. Paragraph [0022] discloses handoff during wireless roaming. However, Wojaczynski does not teach or suggest the association between the terminals and the PCs through a call connection controller described above.

Accordingly it is respectfully submitted that independent claims 1, 26, 34, 41, 50, 58, 65 and 74-76 and their respective dependent claims patentably distinguish over Fuoss or Wojaczynski. Withdrawal of these rejection is therefore respectfully requested.

In view of the above, Applicants believe the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Dated: August 14, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

By /Richard LaCava/
Richard LaCava
Registration No.: 41,135
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP
1177 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036-2714
(212) 277-6500
Attorney for Applicant